

Out-of-focus point spread functions

Prof. Hank Dietz

EI, February 4, 2014

University of Kentucky
Electrical & Computer Engineering

Canon Hack Development Kit (CHDK)



Enables running arbitrary C code in a Canon PowerShot with full access to camera


Spring 2009, EE499

- Jennifer Danhauer, Joe Lanford, Ross Levine project to **capture a depthmap inside a Canon PowerShot using depth-from-focus**
- CHDK scripting so a single press captures a sequence with different focus distances
- CHDK processing modified with custom C code to measure blur & combine images
- Blur measurement was fairly state-of-the-art




How Good Is The Depthmap?

- Accurate depths at edges
- No depth in featureless fields
- Wrong depths near edges!
- Wrong by a lot
- Wrong both directions
- Seems to “echo” edges...




What Went Wrong?

- Most image processing algorithms model out-of-focus (OOF) as Gaussian blur:





Out-Of-Focus Isn't Blurry!

- OOF **point spread function (PSF)** typically has a **sharp edge!**



Why The Sharp Edge?

The lens aperture **occults** rays:



PSFs, In Focus Or Not

- PSF describes the response of an imaging system to a point source (**impulse response**); it is the spatial domain representation of the **modulation transfer function (MTF)**
- **Image is the sum of the non-occluded parts of the PSFs of all points of light in the scene**
- What do OOF PSFs of real lenses look like?

Measuring OOF PSF

- Work in stable, dark, unobstructed, area
- Place point light source at 10m
(often can use a white LED penlight)
- Manual focus to 1m, 2m, or 3m
- Expose to *show detail inside* OOF PSF

I've collected & measured **over 125 lenses**

Which Lenses? Fixed+Zoom

F (mm)	F/1	F/1.4	F/2	F/2.8	F/4	F/5.6	F/11
20				1	5+5	+3	1
24			1	4	1+1		
28				5	1+5		
40			4	2	2+5		
60	2	6	16	1	1+4	+3	
135		2	2	9+1	9+7	3+9	
250					3+7	+2	2
500					1	1+2	5


Why Bother?

- Justifies **LBA** to spouse ;-)
- OOF PSF is easy to measure
- **OOF PSF is not the same** for all lenses:
 - Diagnose inherent & acquired lens defects
 - Forensic applications
 - Recovery of depth & stereo capture
 - Predict & shape bokeh



Diagnostic Use

- Ever buy a used lens?
- Two classes of lens defects:
 - **Inherent** from design or manufacture
 - **Acquired** from use, storage, and age

Vignetting




Decentering




Axial Chromatic Aberration




Undercorrected / Overcorrected Spherical Aberration




Dust & Dirt



Oily Fingerprint



Fungus Infection



Nicked Element



Element Separation




Forensic Use

- Identify faked images
- Make more credible fakes
(e.g., for computer-generated movie effects)
- Identify the lens used:
 - Determine most likely **type** of lens
 - Distinguish between similar lenses
 - Acquired defects are “lens fingerprints”


Compact Camera Lens




Ultrawide Zoom (corner)



Conventional Telephoto



Mirror Lens



Distinguishing Similar Lenses

Canon FD 50mm $f/1.4$



Mamiya/Sekor 55mm



SMC Takumar 50mm



MC Rokkor 50mm $f/1.4$



- Four very similar lenses, @ $f/1.4$ and $f/5.6$
- Vignetting, blade count/shape/rotation...



Recovery Of Depth

- Recognize OOF PSF pattern – not “blur”
- The **signed** diameter of the OOF PSF is:


$$Const_1 - (Const_2 / ObjectDistance)$$

- How can we distinguish before/after focus?
 - Stuff in front can occlude stuff behind
 - OOF PSF is “turned inside out”

Before Or After Focus Point?




Axial CA After / Before Focus



Axial CA in a photo



Extreme Undercorrected SA, After / Before Focus



Extreme Undercorrected SA in a photo



Depth And Multiple Views

- Multiple viewpoints in OOF PSF can be computationally extracted
- Phase detect autofocus (PDAF) suggests direction & amount to change focus in one reading – so can OOF PSF recognition
- Light field can be extracted (similar to coded aperture or plenoptic)
- Stereo pairs can be extracted

Bokeh

- The properties of OOF regions of images
- Not about quantity or size of OOF things, hence often considered a “magical” property
- Good bokeh look smooth, bad don't
- Worst is nisen bokeh double line artifacts

Both Good & Bad Bokeh Here



OOF PSFs Make The Bokeh

- **Bright center** \Rightarrow good bokeh
- **Bright outer ring** \Rightarrow nisen bokeh
- **Vignette + field curvature** \Rightarrow “swirly” bokeh
- **Axial CA** \Rightarrow “bokeh CA”
- Can shape (**apodize**) OOF PSF to improve

Conclusion

- Out-of-focus really isn't blurry:
measuring OOF PSFs is easy & worthwhile
- The OOF PSF tells you a lot about a lens...
and about the scene (e.g., depth & stereo)
- Understanding & manipulating OOF PSF can
enable things you couldn't do otherwise
- Lots of algorithms to develop...
- I guess Hank isn't going to show us all 125+
of his lenses....

Want To Know More?

Watch our research WWW site:

Aggregate.Org 
UNBRIDLED COMPUTING